						woodland
Farmerat The Far	mPEAT Projec	et I Habitat S	corecard			
					Woodland	
FP Farmer ID:	Da	te:		Total Score:	/ 100	
Plot Number:		rveyor:			B+C+D)	
			0	circle the correct so	core. Eg: 0.8	
Dominant Habitat Ty	vpe:					
Scrub Wo *If plot is dominated by dense br		nse Bracken* ( score of 5 above and no	o further assessment	t is required.		
Dominant Soil Type:						
Mineral 🦳 Peat						
A. Ecological Integri	ty					Score: / 50
Scrub/Woodland Species (Tick those present). °= Non-native species						
Typical scrub	species	Typical	woodland sp	pecies		
1. Alder 6.	Elder	<b>11.</b>	<b>16.</b> *Spru	uce		
2. Ash 7.	European Gorse	<b>12.</b> Holly	<b>17.</b> *Syca	amore		
<b>3.</b> Birch <b>8.</b>	Hazel	<b>13.</b> Oak	<b>18.</b> Othe	er (list)		
<b>4.</b> Blackthorn <b>9</b> .	Whitethorn	14.Rowan	List (18)	:		
5.Bramble/Briars 10.Willow 15. Scot's Pine						
A.1 (Scrub dominated areas). Describe the diversity and structure of the scrub present:						
Poor Gorse dominated scrub.	Moderate Two or more wood species (excluding gorse) common throughout (see table above). 15	dy Three or g woody s (excludin commor through	pecies ng gorse) 1	Very g Four or more v species (other common. Varia vegetation hei structure throw 50	voody than gorse) Ition in ght and ughout.	





# A.2

(Woodland dominated areas – structure & diversity).

## A.2 (i)

Describe the canopy layer.

<b>Poor</b> Native woodland with frequent non-native (conifer or deciduous) trees present.	<b>Moderate</b> Native woodland with very occasional non-native (conifer or deciduous) trees present.	<b>Good</b> Native woodland with no non-natives (conifer or deciduous) trees present.		
0	25	30		
<mark>A.2 (ii)</mark> Describe the shrub layer.				
<b>Poor</b> Shrub layer absent or consists of non-native species.	<b>Moderate</b> Shrub layer present.	<b>Good</b> Well developed shrub layer present.		
0	5	10		
<mark>A.2 (iii)</mark> Describe the field layer.				
<b>Poor</b> The field layer is absent or consists of non-native species	<b>Moderate</b> Field layer present with low species and structural diversity. Includes bramble or bracken-dominated layer.	<b>Good</b> Field layer supports good diversity of native species, with mosses, ferns and herbs present.		
0	5	10		
R Hydrological Integrity	· · · ·	Secret /20		
B. Hydrological Integrity Score:/ 30   B.1 Artificial drainage features (include both internal and perimeter drains – natural and modified watercourses are excluded from assessment).				
<b>Drained</b> Drains predominantly free flowing, unvegetated and unblocked.	<b>Partly Drained</b> Drains present but flow is predomi- nantly impeded (by vegetation/dams).	None Drains absent or present but non-functioning. No flow, highly vegetated. and/or water level in drain <30cm from top of drain.		
-15	0	15		





### B.2

Water table level in drain (include both internal and perimeter drains – natural and modified watercourses are excluded from assessment). *The assessment of effect of drain on plot gets more weighting.* 

Poor Water level typically ≥ 1m below drain surface. Drains having signifi- cant effect on water-table of plot. -15	Moderate Water level typically <1m but ≥30cm below drain surface. Drains having a moderate effect on water-table of plot. 0	<b>Good</b> Water level typically < 30cm below surface of drain. Assume highest water table if no drains present. Drains having minor to no effect on water-table of plot. <b>15</b>	
C. Threats to site integrity			
C.1 Bare soil and erosion.			
<b>High</b> Areas of bare soil caused by livestock or machinery resulting in erosion. High levels of poaching and excessive areas of bare soil.	<b>Moderate</b> Areas of bare soil caused by livestock or machinery, but not resulting in erosion.	Low Little or no man-made bare soil observed and no evidence of erosion or poaching (<1%).	
-20	0	10	
C.2 Extent of damaging activities.			
<b>High</b> Plot has been recently felled and / or there is presence of current dumping, burning, or other damaging activities.	<b>Moderate</b> Some evidence of historic felling. Evidence of past dumping or other damaging activities, which are not currently taking place.	Low No evidence of any damaging activities. Allow for small-scale cutting of trees for firewood/ recreational purposes (e.g. walkway)	
-20	-10	0	
<b>C</b> 2			

#### C.3

Are there invasive species in the area?

e.g. Rhododendron, Japanese Knotweed, Giant Hogweed, Winter heliotrope, Fuchsia, Cherry laurel, Snowberry, Giant Rhubarb.

Yes	No
-20	0



Woodland

#### D. Award 10 points for a feature of biodiversity/ecological significance.

Score: \_\_/10

#### D.1

Award 10 points for a feature of biodiversity/ecological significance.

Where present, please list in comments below. Examples include stone walls, badger setts, veteran trees, wet features, dead wood\* etc. (\*deadwood must be min. 7cm. Includes standing deadwood, stumps, roots, logs, dead limbs on tree)

Absent	Present
0	10

Management Advice/ Comments: