					Peatland
FamiPEAT Th	e FarmPEAT Pr	oject <mark>I Habitat</mark>	Scorecard		
				Peatland	
FP Farmer ID: Plot Number:		Date: Surveyor:	То	tal Score:/100 (A+B+C)	
Peatland Type:	Raised Bog	Cutover Bog	) *circle	the correct score. Eg: 0.3	
A. Ecological In	tegrity.				Score: / 55
A.1 How many <b>posit</b> i	<b>ve</b> indicator species are p	present in the plot? (p	lease tick any that ap	oply).	
Low 0-4 <mark>0</mark>	Medium 5-6 2	High 7-8 5	Very high 9+ 10		
Shrub Layer	1. Bilberry	2. Bog Myrtle	3. Cross-leaved Heather	4. Ling Heather	
Sedge/ Herb Laye	er 5. Bog Asphodel	6. Bog Bean	7. Bog Cotton	8. Deer Grass	
	9. Lousewort	10. Sundews	11. White- Beaked Sedge		
Moss Layer	12. Non-crus- tose (bushy)	13. Sphagnum Moss	C		
A.2 What is the comb	ined cover of Sphagnum	moss throughout the	plot?		
Rare 0-5% <mark>0</mark>	Frequent 6-20%	Abundant 20-40% 15	Dominant >40% 20		
A.3 Tick any <b>negative</b>	indicators present on th	ne plot.			
Negative Indicate	ors Bramble	Conifers or Deciduo (where encroaching	ous trees	European Gorse	
	Nettle	Alien invasives (e.g. Pitcher Plant, Japan	Rhododendron, o	Bracken	
What is the comb	oined cover of all <b>negativ</b>	e indicators/weeds th	roughout the plot?		
High >25% -15	Medium 11-25% -10	Med - Low 1-10% 0	Absent or <1% 10		
A.4 Presence of <b>non-</b> Japanese Knotwe	<b>native</b> invasive species w ed etc.) *Invading trees s	vithin the plot (e.g. Rho such as birch or pine n	ododendron, Pitcher ot included here.	Plant,	

Present	Absent
-10	0

)



Peatland

### A.5

Quality of vegetation structure?

Very Poor Vegetation height is uniformly low. Often lacking moss and shrub layers. Often resulting from overgrazing or recent peat cutting. OR Vegetation often dominated by tall, bushy Ling Heather, Bracken, purple moor-grass or invading trees. Sphagnum generally absent. Bare peat may	<b>Poor</b> On raised bog, Sphagnum mosses present, but rare to occasional. Bog surface dry and hard but with some occasional wetter patches (often with algae). Bog surface generally flat but often sloped (hummock and hollow complexes	Moderate Sphagnum occurs frequently and surface of bog can be hard or soft but not quaking. Bare peat occurs occasionally.	<b>Good</b> Vegetation generally open, Sphagnum mosses dominant in the ground layer. Bog surface very soft and wet. Often quaking. Well developed hummock, hollow and pool complexes usually present on high bog. Well structured vegetation with all 3 layers (moss, sedge/
generally absent. Bare peat may or may not be present. Bog surface generally dry and hard. Often resulting from drainage.	hollow complexes absent)		all 3 layers (moss, sedge/ herb and shrub) well represented. Bare peat absent or very rare.
-15	-10	10	15

# B. Hydrological Integrity.

#### B.1

Presence of typical wet raised bog communities (Sphagnum-dominated). May include wet features such as bog pools or flushes.

Absent	Rare	Common
0	5	15

## B.2

\_

Surface hydrology and artificial drainage features (including boundary drains).

Significantly altered bog hydrology Frequent widespread free flowing drains on plot with notable effect on surrounding vegetation of bog (>20% of plot affected).	Moderately altered bog hydrology Free flowing drains on plot with notable effect on surrounding vegetation of bog.	Slightly altered bog hydrology Drains present on plot although are somewhat impeded and little effect on surrounding bog.	Moderately intact bog hydrology Bog surface largely intact, although some evidence of historic disturbance (cutting, drainage, erosion channels) across any part of plot. Vegetation and hydrology largely recovered /	Intact bog hydrology Intact bog surface, no evidence of past drainage or disturbance
-30	-15	0	stabilised.	15

Score: \_\_/ 30



Peatland

# C. Threats to Site Integrity.

Score: \_\_/15

### C.1

Is there evidence of damage due to burning?

High Evidence of recent extensive burning causing significant damage to moss layer (eroding/ dead hummocks) (>10% of plot affected)	<b>Medium</b> Evidence of some recent burning, but no damage to moss layer (up to 10% of plot affected)	None No evidence of recent burning.
-15	-5	5

# C.2

What is the extent of bare soil and erosion?

 $^\circ$  exclude temporary bare peat resulting from recent restoration – this should be categorized as Low

High	Medium	Low	None
Areas of bare and eroding soil	Areas of bare and erod-	Bare soil present but	Little or no bare soil
found at intervals along regular-	ing soil found at inter-	only very occasionally	seen over the
of sheet / rill erosion or gullying.	routes and / or evidence	routes. Little or no signs	other than isolated
Significant rutting caused by vehicles/machinery. Excessive	of sheet / rill erosion or gullying. Significant rut-	of erosion. May also be a few isolated bare patches	hoof prints. Some bare soil at 'pinch' points
poaching. >10% bare and erod- ing soil in plot	ting caused by vehicles/	and some damage from vehicles or animals Verv	along regularly used
	poaching. >1-10% bare	restricted in distribution	gaps in walls) is
	and eroding soil in plot.	and not excessive i.e. <1% of the plot. No areas larger than 0.1ha with more than	acceptable as long as no signs of erosion are visible
		10% bare peat.	
-20	-10	0	10

### C.3

Is there damage due to supplementary feeding?

<b>High</b> Damage at multiple feeding sites OR presence of feeding sites at vulnerable location (i.e. near watercourses) Or >5% of area damaged, Or damage extending >30m from a feeding	<b>Medium</b> Damage from single supplementary feeding site, accounting for <5% of plot and extending <30m from feeding site.	<b>None</b> No damage evident.
-15	-5	0



Peatland

C.4

Turbary

Very High	High	Medium	None
Peat cutting activities within	Most recent cutting	Most recent cutting	No peat cutting
the current season	activities occurred last year affecting >10% of plot.	activities occurred last year affecting <10% of plot.	activity for >2 years.
-30	-15	-5	0

C.5

Is there any evidence of other damaging activities to vegetation or soil?

If yes, list in the space provided below. Examples may include: dumping (organic or inorganic), pollution or damage to soil, active quarry / sand pit, litter, slurry/farmyard manure etc.

High >50%	Medium 6-50%	Low <5%	None
-30	-20	-10	0

If **Yes** in C.5, Please list here:

Management Advice/ Comments: \_\_\_\_\_